	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/729,498	KIRCHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian R. Gordon	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Brian R. Gordon</u> .	(3)	
(2) Larry Crane.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-31		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Crane that the appeal biref filed December 12, 2003, had been considered and that dependent claims 6-8, 12-13, 19-21, 23, and 28-29 would be indicated as objected to claims. The examiner presented the option of amending the independent claims to include any of the objected to limitations in order to allow the the claims. Mr. Crane informed the examiner that at the time the client does not want to amend the claims to include any of the objected to limitations.